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The term "unfair labor practice" has no common law background; it is a
term of recent origin which is an outgrowth of the industrial strife and unrest
which was intensified by the recent depression. Various legislative acts have
been passed to safeguard the privileges of American workers to organize and
select representatives for the purpose of collective bargaining. The wrongs
known as "unfair labor practices" were a result of this unrest which culminated
in legislative enactments.

The first attempt to set forth what were unfair labor practices was in the
Federal Railway Labor Act. This Act set forth certain practices of employers
as unfair labor practices and provided that indulgences in them by an employer
was a misdemeanor. The rights given labor under this act were the rights to
organize and bargain collectively through representatives of their own choosing.
However, the scope of this act was limited to railroad employees engaged in
interstate commerce.

The first general act setting forth unfair labor practices was the National
Industrial Recovery Act. The right given labor was that the employees could
organize and bargain collectively through representatives of their own choice,
and unfair labor practices were set out, but were not designated as such but
were similar to those acts called unfair labor practices by the Federal Railway
Labor Act. These unfair labor practices were: (1) employees could organize
and choose their representatives free from interference from their employer;
(2) no employee as a prerequisite to becoming employed should be required
to join a company union. This act was of short life. It was declared uncon-
stitutional in 1935.
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The unfair labor practices embodied in the National Industrial Recovery Act were amplified, and made clear in the National Labor Relations Act, which was held to be constitutional.

The Labor Relations Act sets out what are unfair labor practices, and states:

"Section 7: Employees shall have the right of self-organization to form, join, or assist labor organizations, to bargain collectively through representatives of their own choosing, and to engage in concentrated activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection.

Section 8: It shall be an unfair labor practice for an employer—

(1) To interfere with, restrain or coerce employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed in Section 7.

(2) To dominate or interfere with the formation or administration of any labor organization or to contribute financial or other support to it.

(3) By discrimination in regard to hire or tenure of employment or any term or condition of employment to encourage or discourage membership in any labor organization.

(4) To discharge or otherwise discriminate against an employee because he has filed charges or given testimony under this Act.

(5) To refuse to bargain collectively with representatives of his employees, subject to the provisions of section 9 (a).

Section 9 (a): Representatives designated or selected for the purpose of collective bargaining by the majority of the employees in a unit appropriate for such purposes, shall be the exclusive representatives of all employees in such unit for the purpose of collective bargaining in respect to rates of pay, wages, hours of employment, or other conditions of employment . . . ."

Professor Frey of the University of Pennsylvania Law School, has classified the practices of employers designated by the Act as being unfair, into three groups:
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discrimination among workers in regard to hire or
tenure or other terms or conditions of employment
because of union activity;

(2) stimulation of company-dominated unions in order to
check the development of independent labor unions;

(3) refusal to bargain collectively with representatives freely
selected by a majority of employees.'

This classification shows the scope of the practices that were intended to be
covered by the National Labor Relations Act.

The National Labor Relations Act was enacted under the commerce power
of the Federal Constitution. The purpose of the Labor Relations Act was to
guarantee to labor certain rights which were felt to be necessary to give it
bargaining power equal to that of the employers. The scope of the board's
power extends to unfair labor practices affecting commerce.

Section 2 (6) of the National Labor Relations Act defines the term
"affecting commerce": "The term affecting commerce, means in commerce, or
burdening or obstructing commerce, or having lead or tending to lead to a
labor dispute burdening or obstructing commerce or the free flow of commerce."

Thus the act is very broad in its scope, but there are a large number of
employers who would not come under this act. The regulation of unfair labor
practices which do not come under this act are free from regulation unless a
state is in a position to regulate them under an act passed under its so-called
police power. The National Labor Relations Act does not apply to employees
of businesses purely local in character, government employees, agricultural or
domestic servants, and persons employed by parents.

In 1937 Pennsylvania passed an act entitled, "Pennsylvania Labor Rela-
tions Act." This act was held constitutional as a valid exercise of the so-
called police power. As we have seen the Federal Act does not cover the
whole field.

Who is an employer as defined by the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act?
The Act, Section 3 (c) defines the term employer: "The term 'employer'
includes any person acting, directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer,
but shall not include the United States or the Commonwealth, or any political
subdivision thereof, or any person subject to the Federal Railway Labor Act or
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the National Labor Relations Act, as amended from time to time, or any labor organization (other than when acting as an employer), or anyone acting in the capacity of officer or agent of such labor organization."

The Pennsylvania Act as does the Federal Act excludes persons employed as an agricultural laborer, or in the domestic service of any person in the home of such person, or any individual employed by his parents or spouse. A further limitation was added by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania in *Western Pennsylvania Hospital v. Lichliter,* which held that hospital employees are not subject to the Labor Relations Act. This decision has been criticized as doing more harm than good, in that an orderly settlement by the Labor Board of many unfair labor practices and grievances of laborers must be supplanted by unwanted strikes.

The Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act sets forth what are unfair labor practices under the Act:

"(1) It shall be an unfair labor practice for an employer—

(a) To interfere with, restrain or coerce employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed in this act.

(b) To dominate or interfere with the formation or administration of any labor organization or contribute financial or other support to it . . .

(c) By discrimination in regard to hire or tenure of employment, or any term or condition of employment to encourage or discourage membership in labor organizations . . .

(d) To discharge or otherwise discriminate against an employee because he has filed charges or given testimony under this act.

(e) To refuse to bargain collectively with the representatives of his employees subject to provisions of section 7 (a) of this Act.

... Section 7 (a) — Representatives designated or selected for the purpose of collective bargaining by the majority of the employees in a unit appropriate for such purposes, shall be the exclusive representatives of all employees in such unit for the purpose of collective bargaining in respect to rates of pay, wages, hours of employment, or other conditions of employment . . ."

---
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The unfair labor practices set out in the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act of 1937 are almost identical with those expressed in the National Labor Relations Act.

Now, the question arises as to whether the Pennsylvania Act is limited in its operation and applies only where the National Act does not apply, or whether the two acts apply concurrently.

The Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act does not apply where the National Labor Relations Act applies, and does not apply where the Federal Railway Labor Act applies, section 3 (c) of Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act, supra.

In the case of Abbott Dairies Incorporated, the court said, "The National Labor Relations Act... confers jurisdiction upon the Board, which it creates, to prevent any person from engaging in any unfair labor practice 'affecting commerce.' These words in the terms of the act mean interstate commerce. Therefore, where the dispute involved is one affecting interstate commerce, it is within the jurisdiction of the National Board and is excluded from the jurisdiction of the State Board by the terms of the Commonwealth's statute 'shall not include any person subject to the National Labor Relations Act'".

The Pennsylvania Act does not apply concurrently with the National Act, but applies only where the National Act does not apply. There is some authority to the affect that the state acts are concurrent with the Federal Act.

In 1939 the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act was amended and unfair labor practices were added which favored the employers, i.e., they made certain acts of employees unfair labor practices. This made the Pennsylvania Act inconsistent with the Federal Act because the Federal Act was passed for the benefit of labor and not for the benefit of the employers. The Federal Act could have been amended, as was the Pennsylvania Act, to include provisions in favor of the employer. But the Federal Act was not amended; it was criticized, but no amendments were adopted.

The Act now guarantees rights to the employer, which are as follows:

"(2) It shall be unfair labor practice for a labor organization, or any officer or officers of a labor organization, or any

---
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(a) To intimidate, restrain, or coerce any employee by threats of force or violence or harm to the person of said employee or the members of his family or his property, for the purpose and with the intent of compelling such employee to join or to refrain from joining any labor organization, or for the purpose or with the intent of influencing or affecting his selection of representatives for the purpose of collective bargaining.

(b) During a labor dispute, to join or become a part of a sit-down strike, or, without the employer's authorization, to seize or hold or to damage or destroy the plant, equipment, machinery or other property of the employer, with the intent of compelling the employer to accede to demands, conditions, and terms of employment including the demand for collective bargaining.

(c) To intimidate, restrain, or coerce any employer by threats of force or violence or harm to the person of said employer or the members of his family, with the intent of compelling the employer to accede to demands, conditions, and terms of employment including the demand for collective bargaining.

Originally the Pennsylvania Act, as the Federal Act, gave rights to the laborer only, but the amendments to the Pennsylvania Act gave rights to the employer as well as to the employee, and made the Pennsylvania Act not only different from the Federal Act but inconsistent as well.

Where there is a state act with a federal act on the same subject, and the agent or agents of a labor organization, or any one acting in the interest of a labor organization, or for an employee or for employees acting in concert — state act is inconsistent with the federal act, the federal act controls. Thus the Pennsylvania Act does not apply concurrently with the Federal Act as to these added provisions.

Every court has the power to determine the jurisdiction of its own court. The Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board can determine whether they have jurisdiction or not, the correctness of the court's ruling being subject to review.

In conclusion we can state that the National Labor Relations Act applies to all labor practices by an employer affecting commerce, which are designated as being unfair labor practices by the National Act, except, those practices covered by the Federal Railway Labor Act, and those employees of businesses purely local in character, government employees, agricultural employees, domestic servants, and persons employed by their parents.

The Pennsylvania Act applies to employers and employees within the state except those that come under the National Labor Relations Act, the Federal Railway Labor Act, and employees of hospitals, government employees, agricultural employees, domestic servants, and persons employed by their parents or spouse.

The Pennsylvania Labor Relations Act does not operate concurrently with the National Labor Relations Act. Where the two acts overlap the National Act controls.\(^{30}\)

JAMES N. PECK

\(^{30}\)See note 29, supra.